



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITEI) STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Ben 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/041,565	01/10/2002	Paul Luchinger	032498-010	2742	
75	590 09/08/2003				
Patrick C. Keane BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			EXAMINER		
			NOLAND, THOMAS		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			. 2856		
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003		

•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Application No.  Applicant(s)  Applicant(s)  Group Art Unit		
Office Action Summary	10/04/575 Examiner		To Ching	
Office Action Summary	Examiner No.	/ 1	Group Art Unit	
	(sa /00	47	300	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet b	eneath the d	correspondence add	dress —
eriod for Reply	/			
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(	S) FROM THE MAII	JNG DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	s, a reply within the statutory mi efault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS for statute, cause the application	nimum of thirty rom the mailing to become ABA	(30) days will be consided date of this communication	ered timely. tion. 133).
tatus	1 - 2	_		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10,000	<u> </u>		·
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1			to the merits is cl	osed in
isposition of Claims				
sposition of Claims  Claim(s)	0	is/are	_ is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)	is/are	_ is/are allowed.		
□ Claim(s)				
• •		· ·		
Claim(s) 1-48	are su	are subject to restriction or election		
pplication Papers		requir	ement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapprov	ved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ol	bjected to by the Examiner	•		
	·			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
	<b>r.</b>			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)		al⊶(d)		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine fority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior		a)–(d).		
<ul> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)</li> <li>☑ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior</li> <li>□ All □ Some None of the:</li> </ul>	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a	a)–(d).		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ All ☐ Some None of a claim for foreign prior. ☐ All ☐ Some None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been also copies.	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a en received. en received in Application I			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a en received. en received in Application I nents have been received	No		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a en received. en received in Application I nents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.5	No	•	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prioring all ☐ Some* None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have Documents	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a en received. en received in Application I nents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.5	No 2(a))		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ In oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ All ☐ Some* None of a claim for foreign prior. ☐ All ☐ Some* None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation* Certified copies not received:	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a en received. en received in Application I nents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.5	No 2(a))		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ All ☐ Some None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have Documen	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a en received. en received in Application I nents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.5	No 2(a))		_ · ion, PTO-15
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prioring the latest and the latest and the latest and the latest and latest an	en received. en received in Application I nents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	No 2(a))		_ · ion, PTO-15

Application Number: 10/041,565 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

1. The preliminary amendments filed Jan. 10, 2002 have been entered.

- 2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Germany on Jan. 10, 2001. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the German application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).
- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-26, drawn to an apparatus for gravimetrically calibrating a multichannel pipette, classified in class 73, subclass 1.74.
  - II. Claims 27-48, drawn to a transport device operable to transport a plurality of receptacles containing a pourable substance to a measuring device, classified in class 141, subclass 94.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Group 1 and Group 2 are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require the holder device to comprise means for damping a movement of the receptacles when they are displaced from an equilibrium position by an extraneous influence. The subcombination has separate utility such as use as a system for filling medicine bottles without necessarily involving pipettes or the use of a balance as in group 1, etc.

Application Number: 10/041,565 Page 3

Art Unit: 2856

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

for Group 1 is not required for Group 2, and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper.

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Tom Noland whose telephone number is (703) 305-4765. The examiner can

normally be reached on weekdays from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Mr. Hezron E. Williams, can be reached on (703) 305-4705.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

9/5/03

Thomas P. Noland
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2856

Thomas Ald

Noland/ek 09/04/03